

**QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP**

Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)  
dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com  
Sara Jenkins (CA Bar No. 230097)  
sarajenkins@quinnemanuel.com  
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor  
Redwood Shores, CA 94065  
Telephone: (650) 801-5000  
Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com  
Teuta Fani (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
teutafani@quinnemanuel.com  
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700  
Chicago, IL 60606  
Telephone: (312) 705-7400  
Facsimile: (312) 705-7401

Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)  
stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com  
Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)  
violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com  
Crystal Nix-Hines (Bar No. 326971)  
crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com  
Alyssa G. Olson (CA Bar No. 305705)  
alyolson@quinnemanuel.com  
865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90017  
Telephone: (213) 443-3000  
Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

Josef Ansorge (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com  
Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)  
tracygao@quinnemanuel.com  
Carl Spilly (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com  
1300 I Street NW, Suite 900  
Washington D.C., 20005  
Telephone: (202) 538-8000  
Facsimile: (202) 538-8100

Jomaire Crawford (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com  
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor  
New York, NY 10010  
Telephone: (212) 849-7000  
Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)  
jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com  
50 California Street, 22nd Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

*Counsel for Defendant Google LLC*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION**

CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,  
JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER  
CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO,  
individually and on behalf of themselves and  
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

**DECLARATION OF JONATHAN TSE IN  
SUPPORT OF GOOGLE LLC'S MOTION  
TO SEAL PORTIONS OF GOOGLE'S  
LLC'S SUPPLEMENT TO  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION UPDATING  
THE COURT ON ITS PRESERVATION  
IMPLEMENTATION EFFORTS AND  
SEEKING RELIEF FROM THE JULY 30  
DEADLINE IMPOSED BY THE JULY 15,  
2022 PRESERVATION ORDER (DKT. 630)**

Judge: Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ

1 I, Jonathan Tse, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a member of the bar of the State of California and an attorney at Quinn Emanuel  
3 Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, attorneys for Defendant Google LLC (“Google”) in this action. I make  
4 this declaration of my own personal, firsthand knowledge, and if called and sworn as a witness, I  
5 could and would testify competently thereto.

6 2. I am making this declaration pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e)-(f) as an attorney  
7 for Google as the Designating Party, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(f)(3) in response to Dkt.  
8 582.

9 3. I have reviewed the documents that Plaintiffs seek to file under seal pursuant to Civil  
10 Local Rule 79-5. Based on my review, there is good cause to seal the following information:

Document	Basis for Sealing
<p>11 Google LLC’s Supplement to 12 Administrative Motion Updating 13 the Court on its Preservation 14 Implementation Efforts and 15 Seeking Relief from the July 30 16 Deadline Imposed by the July 15, 17 2022 Preservation Order (Dkt. 18 630) 19 Pages 2:2-17</p>	<p>The information requested to be sealed contains Google’s highly confidential and proprietary information regarding highly sensitive features of Google’s internal systems and operations, including various types of Google’s internal data signals and logs, and their proprietary functionalities, that Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known to the public or Google’s competitors. Such confidential and proprietary information reveals Google’s internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services, and falls within the protected scope of the Protective Order entered in this action. <i>See</i> Dkt. 81 at 2-3. Public disclosure of such confidential and proprietary information could affect Google’s competitive standing as competitors may alter their systems and practices relating to competing products. It may also place Google at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats, as third parties may seek to use the information to compromise Google’s internal practices relating to competing products.</p>

24 4. Google’s request is narrowly tailored in order to protect its confidential information.  
25 These redactions are limited in scope and volume. Because the proposed redactions are narrowly  
26 tailored and limited to portions containing Google’s highly-confidential or confidential information,  
27 Google requests that the portions of the aforementioned documents be redacted from any public  
28 version of those documents.

